# Proton Acceleration in Coronal Shocks Kamen Kozarev

### CfA Postdoc Symposium – October 25, 2013

# How are SEPs produced?

- Solar Energetic Particles (SEP): 1 MeV < E < 500 MeV/nucleon ions;
- Pose significant radiation hazard for astronauts and spacecraft.
- First reported in 1949, initially thought to originate in flares
- Observations in 70s/80s suggested two types:

<u>impulsive</u> – small, related to flares, narrow longitudinal ranges <u>gradual</u> – large, related to coronal mass ejection (CME)-driven shocks, wide longitudinal range

- Newer, better observations suggested two-type picture oversimplified: impulsive signatures seen in gradual events and vice versa

- To add to the confusion:

- 1. Shocks can form as low in the corona as 1.2 Rsun (Gopalswamy et al., 2011)
- 2. Protons can be accelerated up to 1 GeV in coronal shocks (Kota et al., 2005; Roussev et al., 2004)

Shocks in corona capable of producing significant SEP fluxes early in events → may masquerade as impulsive events

#### Questions I am interested in:

1. Can we identify/characterize coronal shocks, estimate acceleration with new, advanced remote observations?

2. Under what conditions do CME-driven coronal shocks accelerate SEPs efficiently?





Tylka and Lee, 2006

# Coronal Mass Ejections and SEPs



Time: 2011-06-07105:35:56.3122, dt=60.0s aia\_20110607T053600\_211-193-171-blos\_2k.prgb channel=211, 193, 171, source=AIA,AIA,AIA,HMI



Observations: Atmospheric Imaging Assembly telescope on Solar Dynamics Observatory Spacecraft Launched in 2010, ultra-high imaging cadence (12s) 10 UV channels



# 1. Can we use remote coronal observations to identify, characterize shocks and estimate acceleration?

#### June 13, 2010 event - one of the first AIA events





Kozarev et al., 2011

#### Combining Radio Shock and EUV Wave observations



# Change in density and/or temperature

Estimate plasma density/temperature change in wave sheath from multitemperature AIA EUV observations!

$$n_e^2 dV = Q(T)dT$$

Differential Emission Measure (DEM, Q(T)): amount of emitting material in a volume dV in temperature interval dT

-Performed DEM calculations (Weber et al., 2005) from six-channel AIA observations

-No significant change in temperature

- Obtained density before/during ratio r ( $t_1$  before event,  $t_2$  during event)

For R2, r~1.18; for R3, r~1.12

Slightly lower than estimates from radio observations  $- r \sim 1.56$  (Ma et al., 2011)

Density jump behind front suggests wave is a weak shock! Confirms kinematics.



 $\int Q_2(T) \mathrm{d}T$ 

Kozarev et al., 2011

## Estimate proton momentum gain from Diffusive Shock Acceleration (DSA) theory

Use:

- Measured shock kinematics and derived shock strength
- Coronal potential magnetic field model
- DSA involves first/second-order Fermi acceleration at shocks
- From DSA, momentum change in time *dt* is (Zank et al., 2006):



# 2. Under what conditions do CME-driven coronal shocks accelerate SEPs efficiently?

Numerical simulations: CME evolution and SEP acceleration



### Coronal and CME density evolution

Kozarev et al., 2013



- X-Z and X-Y slices of density evolution over 20 minutes
- Expansion preferentially in +Z and -Y directions
- Sheath and Pile-Up Compression (PUC)
- PUC wrapped closely around flux rope.
- A dimple develops where part of the shock is still inside the streamer

# Acceleration along field lines distorted by CME

#### Kozarev et al., 2013 **b)**<sub>10<sup>s</sup>r</sub> Line 1 a) Event-integrated Fluxes min 46 min 70 sr<sup>1</sup> MeV<sup>-1</sup>] 106 10<sup>10</sup> 104 Line 1 ۍ. $10^{2}$ sr<sup>1</sup> MeV<sup>-1</sup>] 10 Z [R\_] Z [R<sub>s</sub>] -8 MeV Flux [cm<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> Line 2 10-2 10 ET COLO 10<sup>7</sup> Fluence [cm<sup>2</sup> 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 4 5 X [R<sub>s</sub>] 3 X [R,] c)<sub>10<sup>8</sup>[<sup>°</sup></sub> nin] Line 2 10<sup>6</sup> sr<sup>.1</sup> MeV<sup>.1</sup>] 10 104 10<sup>5</sup> ۲ [R] [R\_ $10^{2}$ °. 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> min 0.74 - 70.56 $10^{-2}$ <-Y cut 10-1 10° 10<sup>1</sup> 3 X [R\_] 4 X [R<sub>s</sub>] 10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 Energy [MeV] **Density contours + Field lines** Time [min]

•Look at two lines: one with most acceleration (Line 1), one with least (Line 2)

# Further examine acceleration along two field lines

min 45.62 Line 1 min 33.16 min 40.64 100.0 100.0 100.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Line 1 1 2 3 n [cm<sup>-3</sup>] 10 10 Г Ф21.5 М 3.0 3.0 3.0 21.5 21.5 2000 2.0<sup>°</sup>J/<sup>'</sup>J)bo 2.0<sup>(°</sup>J/<sup>1</sup>J)6 (°J/<sup>1</sup>)Bol 5 3 1500 Energy 1000 46 n 1.0 500 0.2 1.0 0.0 0.15 1.8 4.8 7.9 10.913.917.020.0 1.8 4.8 7.9 10.913.917.020.0 1.8 4.8 7.9 10.913.917.020.0 0.10 Distance along field line [R.] Distance along field line [R] Distance along field line [R.] ш 0.05 min 56.43 min 64.74 min 70.56 100.0 100.0 100.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 V<sub>A</sub> [km/s] 400 Де 21.5 3.0 3.0 3.0 300 21.5 21.5 200 0,1<sup>1</sup>,1<sup>0</sup> (°J/'J) (°J/J)Bo 100 Energy sin<sup>-1</sup>(B<sub>2</sub>/|B|) [deg] 100 50 4.6 4.6 1.0 -50 -100 1.8 4.8 7.9 10.913.917.020.0 1.8 4.8 7.9 10.913.917.020.0 1.8 4.8 7.9 10.913.917.020.0 4.8 7.9 10.9 13.9 17.0 20.0 1.8 Distance along field line [R.] Distance along field line [R.] Distance along field line [R.] Distance along field line [R.1 10 min 33.16 min 40.64 min 45.62 Line 2 100.0 2.0 100.0 2.0 100.0 2.0 <sup>ار</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> Energy [MeV] 1.5 1.5 1.5 21.5 21.5 10 2000 (°J/<sup>1</sup>)Bol (°J/J)bol 1.0<sup>0</sup>(1<sup>/1</sup>) 1500 [s/wk]] [n] 4 5 6 23 1000 4.6 0.5 0.5 0.5 500 0 ຼ ເງິ 0.4 1.8 3.3 4.9 6.4 7.9 9.5 11.0 1.8 3.3 4.9 6.4 7.9 9.5 11.0 1.8 3.3 4.9 6.4 7.9 9.5 11.0 Distance along field line [R] Distance along field line [R.] Distance along field line [R] 回 0.2 0.1 min 56.43 min 70.56 min 64.74 2.0 100.0 2.0 100.0 2.0 100.0 [km/s] 45 MeV 21.5 400 1.5 1.5 1.5 350 300 250 200 60 21.5 21.5 > (°J/ł)Bol (°¼/³)6ol (°µ'j)6ol Energy 70 [deg] 40 4.6 (Bz/B) [ 20 -20 j. 1.0 1.0 1.8 3.3 4.9 6.4 7.9 9.5 11.0 1.8 3.3 4.9 6.4 7.9 9.5 11.0 1.8 3.3 4.9 6.4 7.9 9.5 11.0 33 4.9 6.4 7.9 9.5 11.0 1.8 Distance along field line [R.] Distance along field line [R] Distance along field line [R.] Distance along field line [R.]

Kozarev et al., 2013

-Acceleration mostly near shock

-Some of it could be due to pile-up compression region behind shock

Line 2

-Efficient acceleration along line in fast-expanding CME region

-Much less acceleration in region of slower expansion

-Detailed shock dynamics crucial to modeling acceleration properly!

# **Current Efforts:**

Develop and combine tools for better EUV wave characterization  $\rightarrow$  better acceleration estimation

Use deprojected AIA data to study lateral speeds, relation between overexpansion of erupting filaments/loops and wave morphology (width intensity) related to plasma pile-up





Use improved radial kinematic measurements to study wave evolution and characteristics

Develop and improve algorithms for automatic detection and tracking of waves, in order to improve the geometric shock front model and  $\theta_{BN}$  estimation

Temperature



Calculate and use time-dependent DEM maps to study change in temperature and density  $\rightarrow$  shock evolution and strength